

Horace W. Horton Arthur A. Cerullo Andrew W. Sparks Alexandra E. Caultïeld Paul E. Peck Philip P. Mancini Jennifer I. Richard Douglas F. Britton Scott E. Herrick Christopher E. Pazar Stephanie N. Strouse Nathaniel R. Huckel-Bauer

Julia G. Pitney

Andrew P. Pierce

Lauren A. Thomas Christopher L. Brooks Nicholas R. Loukes A Limited Liability Partnership Counselors at Law

One Monument Way, Portland, Maine 04101

Wadleigh B. Drummond 1885-1979 Josiah H. Drummond 1914-1991

Of Counsel Robert C. Santomenna Paul M. Koziell

Telephone: 207-774-0317 Facsimile: 207-879-5870 E-mail: cpazar@ddlaw.com

December 30, 2011

Dianne Hill, Clerk York County Superior Court 45 Kennebunk Road P.O. Box 160 Alfred, ME 04002-0160

RE:

Robert P. Almeder, et al. v. Town of Kennebunkport, et al.

Docket No. RE-09-111

Dear Dianne:

Enclosed for filing, please find Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration. Please present it to Justice Brennan for consideration. I also enclose a check in the amount of \$60.00 for the filing fee.

Thank you for your assistance. Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

fristopher E. Pazar

CEP/sv Enclosures

cc: Amy K Tchao, Esq.
Thomas McNaboe, Esq.
Paul Stern, Deputy Attorney General
Neal Weinstein, Esq.
Gregg Frame, Esq.
Alexander Lachiatto & Judith Lachiatto
Richard & Margarete K.M. Driver

Janice Fleming Brian Willing, Esq. Andre Duchette, Esq. Pete Thaxter, Esq. Alan Shepard, Esq. Nicholas Strater, Esq. Paul & Sharon Hayes Alan Clark Barbara Young Thomas Ramsey Kristen Mulvihill Mary Jane & Jason Mulvihill Allison Phinney Robert & Lois Baylis William Joel, II Barbara Russell Peter Wasserman Michael & Donna Kelly Robert Danielson, Esq. Jennifer Wasserman Anthony Aversa Charles Nickerson, Esq. Joanne Gustin William Leete, Esq.

Terry O'Connor

STATE	OF	MAINE
YORK,	SS.	

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION DOCKET NO. RE-09-111

ROBERT F. ALMEDER et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
)	MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
v.)	WITH INCORPORATED
)	MEMORANDUM OF LAW
TOWN OF KENNEBUNKPORT et al.,)	
Defendants.	
)	

I. THIS COURT ERRED IN NOT GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON COUNT I OF THE TOWN'S COUNTERCLAIM.

Plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys, hereby move under Rule 7(b)(5) of the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure for the Court to reconsider its Order, dated December 22, 2011 (the "Order") denying Plaintiffs' Motions For Summary Judgment with respect to Count 1 of Town's Counterclaim on the ground that the Court has committed an error of law in reaching its decision.

In its Order, the Court erroneously shifted the burden of proof to the Plaintiffs in denying Plaintiffs' Motions for Summary Judgment of Count I on the Town's Counterclaim. In its decision, the Court found that the Town's self-proclaimed source of title, the 1684 deed, was in fact not a source of title for the Town and conveyed no title interest in Goose Rocks Beach to the Town. Based upon that finding, the Court properly denied the Town's Motion for Summary Judgment on Count I of its Counterclaim. The Court however improperly denied the Plaintiffs' Motions for Summary Judgment on Count I of the Town's Counterclaim by finding that the "Plaintiffs have not conclusively proven" that Goose Rocks Beach was conveyed into private hands before the Town's incorporation or prior to the 1684 deed or that the Beach was not part of the common and undivided land. See Order at ¶12-13. In so finding, the Court erroneously

shifted the Town's burden of proof with respect to its initial requirement of establishing its own title in Goose Rocks Beach upon the Plaintiffs.

In Count I of its Counterclaim, the Town is the proponent that is claiming title to Goose Rocks Beach and the party asking the Court to declare that it is the fee simple title owner of Goose Rocks Beach. As the party asserting the affirmative of the controlling issue of the Counterclaim, i.e. ownership of Goose Rocks Beach, the Town has the burden of proof. The allocation of the burden of proof in declaratory judgment actions must be determined by reference to the substantive gravamen of the complaint. The party who asserts the affirmative of the controlling issues in the case, whether or not he is the nominal plaintiff in the action, bears the risk of non-persuasion. Hodgdon v. Campbell, 411 A.2d 667, 670-671 (Me. 1980). In its Counterclaim to "quiet title" of the Town's claim of fee ownership of Goose Rocks Beach, the Town bears the burden of proving it has better title than the Plaintiffs. Id. at 671. To accomplish this goal in the present case, the Town is initially required to prove the title it has alleged. Hann v. Merrill, 305 A.2d 545, 550 (Me. 1972). The Town has proven no title in itself to Goose Rocks Beach.

In Count I of its Counterclaim the Town represents it obtained fee title to Goose Rocks
Beach by virtue of "royal grants of certain English monarchs confirmed in 1663 by the decree of
King Charles II and later re-confirmed by William III and Mary II as joint sovereigns by virtue
of the issuance of a new charter in favor of the Town of Kennebunkport, or other such royal
grants or land grants in favor of the Town of Kennebunkport." Town's Counterclaim ¶ 3. As a
threshold matter, it is the Town's burden to establish this "grant" of title. In support of its claim
of title the Town proffered the 1684 confirmatory deed as the sole source of its expressed grant
of title to Goose Rocks Beach. In its decision, the Court properly found that the 1684 deed

conveyed no title to the Town. The Town presented no other "grant" of title, which placed title to Goose Rocks Beach into the Town. To prevail in its Counterclaim, the Town has the affirmative burden to establish its claimed grant of title.

The Court erroneously shifted that burden to the Plaintiffs by requiring the Plaintiffs to conclusively prove that the Town did not receive title to Goose Rocks Beach as some unclaimed vestige of common or undivided land. When the burden of proof is properly allocated to the Town, to survive Plaintiffs' Motions for Summary Judgment, the Town was required to present some expressed grant of title in the Town; either specifically conveying Goose Rocks Beach or the common and undivided land of the Town with evidence that Goose Rocks Beach was contained within the common and undivided land of any such grant. The Town, not the Plaintiffs, had the burden to establish the grants into it from either the Early Proprietors, Massachusetts Bay Colony or the Town recognized "Proprietors/Owners of the common undivided lands". The Town's attempt at doing so, the 1684 deed, failed and with it the Town's claim must fail. The Town failed to meet its burden in establishing evidence of fee ownership title to Goose Rocks Beach. The Plaintiffs in their Motions for Summary Judgment to Count I of the Town's Counterclaim are not asking the Court to find title to Goose Rocks Beach rests with them. They are asking the Court to find that the Town has not met its burden in establishing a claim of title to Goose Rocks Beach in the Town. When the Court properly assigns the burden

In 1726 the Town of Arundel recognized and confirmed by vote that the common and undivided land within the Town's borders was owned by the Town recognized "Proprietors". (Plaintiffs' Joint Statement of Material Facts ¶ 64, 65). In its response to paragraphs 64 and 65 of Plaintiffs' statement of material facts the Town argues essentially that the "proprietors" and the "town" were one and the same, that the "town" conveyed land based upon the authority given to them by the, now discredited, 1684 deed. (Town's Reply to Plaintiffs' Joint Statement of Material Facts ¶ 64, 65). In Eaton v. Wells, 2000 ME 176, ¶ 16, 17; 760 A.2d 232, 239–40, a case where the title to the common and undivided land of Wells, Maine mirrors the title facts of common and undivided land within the Town of Arundel, the Court held that the "town" proprietors held title to the common and undivided land and that to the extent the Town of Wells claimed title, it had to produce an expressed grant of title to these lands from those proprietors to sustain its title claim. To the extent the Town of Kennebunkport claims title to Goose Rocks beach as common and undivided land, it likewise must produce an expressed grant from these "Proprietors" to sustain its title claim. The Town has not done so.

of proof and reviews the evidence presented in the statements of material fact in the proper light, the Court must grant Plaintiffs' Motions for Summary Judgment on Count I of the Town's Counterclaim.

II. THIS COURT ERRED IN NOT GRANTING JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFFS ON COUNT II OF THEIR COMPLAINT.

Plaintiffs move this Court to reconsider its decision not to grant judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs on Count II of their Complaint because the court made an error of law by requiring the Plaintiffs' to prove title "conclusively" before granting judgment in their favor. Count II of Plaintiffs' Complaint is a statutory quiet title action pursuant to 14 M.R.S. §§ 6651-6663.

Pursuant to the statute on filing the complaint, Plaintiffs served the Town and published the required statutory notice. This Court ordered an additional publication to all those unknown or unascertained parties. That notice, as did the first, stated in bold print "IF YOU INTEND TO OPPOSE THE LAWSUIT, YOU MUST ANSWER WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME.

FAILURE TO DO SO WILL RESULT IN FORFEITURE OF YOUR CLAIMS" (emphasis added). As with all quiet title actions, those who did not come forward and answer have forfeited any claim. The only party to come forward claiming title was the Town and it did so by claiming its title claim was simple because it rested on the 1684 document/deed which this Court has correctly found is not a source of title for the Town³.

In an action to quiet title, Plaintiffs must provide prima facie evidence of ownership to the property in question. Possession of a warranty deed or quitclaim deed from a warranty deed constitutes "prima facie evidence of ownership, and will authorize a verdict for the plaintiff,"

² This final notice was published by the Portland Press Herald on October 5, 2010.

³ There are twelve properties owned by private parties represented by the TMF Group ("Group of Twelve") who claim rights to use certain beach properties by virtue of recorded plans but do not claim title. The Group of Twelve is specifically identified in footnote 5 of Plaintiffs' Consolidated Reply Memorandum (July 21, 2011). Plaintiffs make this motion without prejudice to any rights claimed by the Group of Twelve.

unless the defendant proves a better title." Hann v. Merrill, 305 A.2d 545, 554 (Me. 1973) (citing Rand v. Skillin, 63 Me. 103, 104 (Me. 1873)). More recently, the Law Court has indicated that the plaintiff has the factual "burden of proving better title than that of the defendant." Hodgdon v. Campbell, 411 A.2d 667, 671 (Me. 1980). Although courts have required Plaintiffs to show some prima facie evidence of title, such as a deed, see Smith v. Varney, 309 A.2d 229 (Me. 1973), courts have never required a plaintiff in a quiet title action to carry the burden of proving "conclusive" evidence of title. Thus, once the Plaintiff shows prima facie evidence of title and either: (1) no defendant comes forth to challenge that title, (2) a court determines that defendants do not, as a matter of law have title, or (3) if plaintiffs have carried their factual burden by proving better title than defendants by a preponderance of the evidence, the court must grant plaintiffs' action to quiet title.

This is a summary judgment motion and what is uncontested is that the deeds provided or referenced in Plaintiffs' Motions for Summary Judgment are Plaintiffs' offered sources of title. No party ever claimed that these deeds were not Plaintiffs' source of title. Plaintiffs have established modern title and as with all title searches, one begins with modern title and searches backwards. The Town's references to descriptions in earlier deeds does not and cannot eliminate Plaintiffs existing prima facie evidence of title. It is the deeds themselves, the deeds referenced in Plaintiffs' motions, and the expert testimony of J. Gordon Scannell that establishes prima facie evidence of Plaintiffs' title to the beach. All further factual basis for title debated between

⁴ This Court noted that some of Plaintiffs' deeds do "not unambiguously describe the high and dry sand and intertidal zone as party of their property" Order at 14. While that may be true as to Sherman, Coughlin, and Celi who reference the Emmons Heirs Subdivision Plan dated December, 1921(Plan Book 8, Page 73, and attached as Exhibit 3 to the Affidavit of Johann Buisman), it is not true as to the twenty three properties listed on Exhibit A as Nos. 1 through 23 whose deeds clearly reference that they extend to the ocean. Exhibit A lists the Plaintiffs and their deeds which are in evidence and breaks them down by category and cites to where they are in the SMF. Additionally, the deeds of Gray (No. 24) and Hastings (No. 25) merely reference prior deeds (Exhibit B) which also clearly reference to the ocean. Finally, the Town does not contest the quiet title claims of Temerlin (No. 27), and Dwelley (No. 26). (Town's MSJ Brief at 1, n.1). Therefore, given that the twenty six deeds of Plaintiffs are clearly

Plaintiffs and the Town is most because this Court found that the Town does not possess a document that gives it title and therefore, Plaintiffs no longer have a burden to show *better* title than anyone else.

Quiet title is a show cause action. Plaintiffs put the entire world and all potential defendants on notice of their quiet title action through publication. The Town was the only party to come forward and assert title to the tidal flats in front of Plaintiffs' homes. Although Plaintiffs were prepared to meet their burden of showing better title than the Town—as evidenced by providing affidavits and title history to prove title well above and beyond prima facie evidence of title—that burden is no longer required because this Court has ruled that the Town has no title document. Thus, since Plaintiffs have proved prima facie evidence of title and there is no longer any defendant that *also* claims title, this Court must rule in favor of Plaintiffs on their claim to quiet title.

This Court rested its judgment denying Plaintiffs' summary judgment on Count II of their complaint on the premise that Plaintiffs did not prove title "conclusively." This was an erroneous conclusion of law. In the event that no defendant has a claim of title to the property claimed by a plaintiff in a quiet title action, a plaintiff is not required to prove title "conclusively." Many times, parties bring a quiet title action *because* there is a potential cloud on their title. The purpose of a quiet title action is to permanently clear clouds on title by requiring all other parties claiming title to come forward so that the court can determine which party has *better* title, not whether one or both parties have "conclusively" proven title.

not ambiguous and two are uncontested, the court must grant judgment to all but Coughlin (No. 29), Sherman (No. 30), and Celi (No. 28) (Exhibit A). For those three Plaintiffs we will put in additional evidence at trial to show that the lots referenced the Emmons Heirs Subdivision Plan all run to the Atlantic Ocean but will need further evidence and expert testimony.

In conclusion, this Court held, as a matter of law, that the Town does not have any claim of title to the beach. Plaintiffs, while not yet proving perfect title, have established prima facie evidence of title through deed descriptions, through references to prior deeds that clearly convey all land to the sea or ocean, and through expert legal opinion that Plaintiffs own the intertidal zone adjacent to their uplands. This is all that the law requires. Thus, because Plaintiffs have proved prima facie evidence to title and because, as a matter of law, no other defendant claims title to the intertidal zone at Goose Rocks Beach, this Court must grant summary judgment in favor of Plaintiffs on Count II of their Complaint.

Dated: December 30, 2011

Sidney St. F. Thaxter, Bar No. 1301 Benjamin M. Leoni, Bar No. 4870

CURTIS THAXTER LLC

One Canal Plaza / P.O. Box 7320

Portland, Maine 04112-7320

(207) 774-9000

Attorneys for plaintiffs/counterclaims defendants Robert F. Almeder, et al. and the parties-in-interest represented by Sidney St. F.

Thaxter and Curtis Thaxter LLC

Dated: December 30, 2011

Christopher Pazar, Bar No. 3307

DRUMMOND & DRUMMOND LLP

One Monument Way

Portland, Maine 04101-4084

(207) 774-0317

Attorney for plaintiffs/counterclaim defendants

Terrence O'Connor, Joan Leahy, and

Janice M. Fleming

NOTICE

Pursuant to Rule 7 of the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, opposition to this Motion must be filed not later than 21 days after the filing of the Motion, unless another time is provided by the Rules of Court. Failure to file a timely objection will be deemed a waiver of all objections to this Motion which may be granted without further notice or hearing.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 30, 2011, I caused to be served by placing a copy of the Plaintiff's Motion For Reconsideration in the U.S. Mail, postage-prepaid, and addressed to

Amy K. Tchao, Esq. Brian Willing, Esq. Drummond Woodsum 84 Marginal Way, Suite 600 Portland, ME 04101-2480

the following:

Neal Weinstein, Esq. Law Offices of Neal L. Weinstein 32 Saco Ave P.O. Box 660 Old Orchard Beach, ME 04064

André G. Duchette, Esq. Taylor McCormack & Frame 30 Milk Street, 5th Floor Portland, ME 04101

Nicholas S. Strater, Esq. STRATER & STRATER PA 266 York Street PO Box 69 York, ME 03909

Alexander M. and Judith A. Lachiatto, *pro se* 12 Bel Air Avenue Kennebunkport, ME 04086

Paul J. and Sharon K. Hayes, *pro se* c/o Paul J. Hayes, Esq. Hayes Bostock & Cronin LLC 300 Brickstone Square, 9th Floor Andover, MA 01810

Barbara Young, *pro se* 4440 East Red Range Way Cave Creek, AZ 85331-5071

Kristen Mulvihill, pro se 295 Greenwich St, Apt 5G New York, NY 10007-1051 Paul Stern, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0006

Gregg Frame, Esq.
Taylor McCormack & Frame, LLC
30 Milk Street, 5th Floor
Portland, Maine 04101-4164

Christopher E. Pazar, Esq. Drummond & Drummond One Monument Way Portland, ME 04101

Alan Shepard, Esq. Shepard & Read 93 Main Street Kennebunk, ME 04043

Richard J. and Margarete K.M. Driver, *pro se* 6 Marshview Circle Kennebunkport, ME 04046

Alan J. Clark, Trustee of the Allan J. Clark Revocable Trust, *pro se* 7030 North West Hwy 225A Ocala, FL 34482-6731

Thomas Ramsey, *pro se* 69 Taylor Road Belmont, MA 02478

Mary Jane and Jason Mulvihill, *pro se* 117 Kings Hwy Kennebunkport, ME 04046 Allison W. Phinney, Jr., C.S.B., pro se 11 Roanoke Avenue Jamaica Plain, MA 02130-2828

William Joel, II, pro se 8905 Sierra Road Richmond, VA 23229

Peter Wasserman, Trustee Peter Wasserman Trust of 1993 485 Crowell Road Hopkinton, NH 03229

Robert E. Danielson, Esq. Law Offices of Robert E. Danielson Two Canal Plaza, Suite 401 P.O. Box 545 Portland ME 04112-0545

Anthony J. Aversa, M.D. 11 Loudon Road Concord, NH 03301

Joanne Gustin Trustee, Joanne K. Gustin Living Trust 155 Federal Street Wilmington, MA 01887

Dated: December 30, 2011

Robert M. and Lois W. Baylis, Trustees 119 Rowayton Avenue Rowayton, CT 06853

Barbara Russell, *pro se* 26 Mynderse Street Saugerties, NY 12477

Michael L. and Donna G. Kelly, *pro se* 9 Phillips Pond Road South Natick, MA 01760-5643

Jennifer Wasserman, Trustee Jennifer B. Wasserman Trust of 1993 c/o Richard Wasserman Wasserman Grubin & Rogers LLP 1700 Broadway New York, NY 10019

Charles L. Nickerson, Esq. 902 Main Street Sanford, ME 04073

William H. Leete, Jr., Esq. Leete & Lemieux, P.A. 95 Exchange St. PO Box 7740 Portland, ME 04112

Christopher E. Pazar, Esq.

Drummond & Drummond, LLP

One Monument Way Portland, Maine 04101

(207) 774-0317

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT A

			Map/		
4	NO.	Plaintitt(s)	Lot	Property Description	Citation
 -		Robert F. Almeder and Virginia S.	33-1-32	The deed into plaintiffs recorded June 19, 2009, Book	Comply ¶ 5 and
		Almeder, Trustees of the Almeder		15659, Page 864, runs to the Atlantic Ocean. The 1928	Exhibit 1.: SMF ² and 1-5
		Living Trust dated October 28, 2008		resting deed, Book 788, Page 14, describes the	
				Almeder property as Lot 11 on the Emmons Heirs Plan,	
(Plan Book 8, Page 73 ("Emmons Plan").	
7		Christopher B. Asplundh	35-9-7	The 1973 source deed and 1940 resting deed describe	Compl. ¶ 6 and
				the Asplundh property to the Atlantic Ocean and by the	Exhibit 2; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
	+	F14 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47		ocean.	=======================================
າ		Janice M. Fleming	34-1-8	The source deed and 1961 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶ 10 and
	+			Fleming property to the Atlantic Ocean.	Exhibit 6; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
4	- /	Susan Flynn, Simon A. Flynn, Sr.,	33-1-5	The source deed and 1954 resting deed describe the	Joinder as Plaintiff (Nov.
		Moira M. Flynn, Gregory M. Flynn,		Flynn property to the Atlantic Ocean.	22, 2010) and, Exhibit A;
		Kobert Clyde Faul Flynn, and Virginia Mary Rodriguez			SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
V		William D Former and	0 1 70		
)	_	Vinda in M. Tation	34-1-7	The source deed and 1961 resting deed describe the	Am. Joinder as Plaintiffs
	7	inaticle iv. Julian		Forrest/Julian property to said ocean.	(Apr. 5, 2011), ¶ 1 and
	+	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			Exhibit A; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
0		Nancie M. Julian	34-1-1	The source deed and 1961 resting deed describes the	Am. Joinder as Plaintiffs
				Julian property to the Atlantic Ocean. Also in the	(Apr. 5, 2011), ¶ 2 and
				chain of title to the Julian property is an August 15,	Exhibit B; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
				1890 deed, recorded at Book 443, Page 376, which	:
l	+			describe the property as to the sea and by the sea.	
	-	John O. Gallant and Sharon A. Gallant	34-1-12	The source deed and 1959 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶11 and
				Gallant property to the Atlantic Ocean. The resting	Exhibit 7; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
٥	+			deeds refer to deeds that date back to 1921 and 1898.	:
×	-	Jule C. Gernsh	34-1-34	The source deed and 1968 resting deed are the same	Compl. ¶ 12 and
				and describe the Gerrish property to the Atlantic	Exhibit 8; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.

¹ Plaintiffs' Complaint filed with the court on October 26, 2009.
² Plaintiffs' Joint Statement of Material Facts filed with the Court on April 29, 2011 in support of Plaintiffs' motions for summary judgment.



No.	Plaintiff(s)	Map/ Lot	Property Description	Citation
			Ocean.	
6	Goose Rocks Beach Holdings LLC	33-1-10	The source deed and 1963 resting deed describes the	Joinder as Plaintiff (Nov.
			grantor's right to the Atlantic Ocean.	3, 2010) and Exhibit A;
5	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;			SMF ¶ 1-5.
01	Goose Rocks Beach Holdings LLC	34-1-20	The source deed and 1966 resting deed describes the	Joinder as Plaintiff (Nov.
			grantor's right to the low water mark.	3, 2010) and Exhibit A;
-	Company Deal II 11:1	,	E	SMF 11-5.
-	Goose Kocks Beach Holdings LLC	34-1-22	The source deed and 1968 resting deed describes the	Joinder as Plaintiff (Nov.
			grantor's right to the shore front.	3, 2010) and Exhibit B;
,				SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
12	Leslie A. Josselyn-Rose, Trustee of the	35-10-36	The source deed and 1946 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶ 15 and
,	LAJK Irust dated February 24, 2009		LAJR property to the sea.	Exhibit 11; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
13	Deborah J. Kinney	34-1-10	The source deed and 1952 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶ 16 and
,			Kinney property to the ocean.	Exhibit 12; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
14	Donna K. Lencki, as Trustee of The	35-10-30	The source deed and 1965 resting deed describe the	Joinder as Plaintiff (Oct.
	Donna Lencki Revocable Trust of 1993		Lencki property to the ocean.	28, 2010) and Exhibit A;
				SMF 11-5.
15	Terrence G. O'Connor and	34-1-24	The source deed and 1921 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶ 18 and
١	Joan M. Leahey		O'Connor/Leahey property to the Atlantic Ocean.	Exhibit 14; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
16	Kristen B. Raines	35-10-37	The source deed and 1956 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶ 20 and
ļ			Raines property to the Atlantic Ocean.	Exhibit 16; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
17	Linda M. Rice	34-1-25A	The source deed describes the Rice property to the	Compl. ¶ 21 and
			Atlantic Ocean. A 1990 partition references the	Exhibit 17; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
9	£		property "by the sea."	
×1	Anne E. Robinson	35-10-14	The source deed and 1972 resting deed describe the	1 st Am. Compl. ¶ 27-1
			former Robinson property to the ocean.	and Exhibits 25 and 25-1;
				SMF ¶ 1-5.
19	Michael J. Sandifer and Alice B.	35-10-35	The source deed and 1929 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶ 22 and
	Sandifer, Co-Trustees of the Alice B. Sandifer Trust dated August 31, 2005		Sandifer property to the sea and by the sea.	Exhibit 18; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
20	Eleanor A. Scribner and Robert H.	35-10-11	The source deed describes the Scribner property to the	Compl. ¶ 23 and
			Arm on Caradoud universe and annual and annual annu	COLLEGE # 42 water

No	Plaintiff(s)	Map/ Lot	Property Description	
	Scribner, Trustees of the Eleanor A.		low water mark. The resting deed reference is to the	Exhibit 19: SMF ¶¶ 1-5
	Scribner Qualified Personal Residence Trust dated July 30, 2004		Estate of Samuel H. Scribner, York County Probate Court Docket No. 1103-A. deceased October 1, 1051	
21	Richard M. Vandervoorn, Lawrence W.	34-1-32	The source deed and 1955 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶ 26 and
	Vandervoorn, and Robert O. Clemens, Trustees of The Cornelius J.		Vandervoorn property to the Atlantic Ocean.	Exhibit 22; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
	Vandervoorn Qualified Personal Residence Trust			
22	Steven H. Wilson and Shawn B.	33-1-3	The source deed and 1950 resting deed describe the	1 st Am. Compl. ¶ 27-2
	McCarthy, Co-Trustees of The Twombly Family Trust u/d/t dated		Twombly property as including beach in front of said lot.	and Exhibit 26; SMF ¶¶
	January 24, 2002, as amended.			
23	Beth G. Zagoren	34-1-17	The source deed and 1963 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶ 27 and,
			Zagoren property to the Atlantic Ocean. The deeds	Exhibit 23; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
	,		also reference an 1854 deed from William Smith to	=======================================
	, ,		James S. Bailey.	
24	Eugene R. Gray, as Trustee of the	34-1-29	The source deed incorporates the 1959 resting deed	Compl. ¶ 13 and
	Qualified Personal Residence Trust dated October 31, 2005		which describes the Gray property to the Atlantic	Exhibit 9; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
25	Educino D. Hosting Tangles of the	1111	Occall.	
3	Edwing D. Hastings, Irustee of the	34-1-11	The source deed incorporates a 1963 deed which	Compl. ¶ 14 and
	ITTA dated America 25, 2006		includes references to prior deeds from Whittenore	Exhibit 10; SMF ¶¶ 1-5.
	O 111 daile 171 gust 23, 2000		and recorded in Book 605, Page 360 and Dook 604	
			Page 197, describing the Hastings property to the sea	
			Pursuant to an order entered in Davis v. Mitchell, York	
-			Sup. Ct., Docket No. CV-90-119, an abstract of same	
			recorded at Book 6373, Page 249, the court confirmed	
			that the boundary of the Davis property ran to the low	
y			water mark.	
28	Willard Parker Dwelley, Jr. and W. Parker Dwelley III and John H	35-9-4	The source deed and 1964 resting deed describe the	Compl. ¶ 8 and
	The state of the s		Dwelley property to me pittle Kiver.	Exhibits 4 and 4-1; SMF

³ Town of Kennebunkport's Motion for Summary Judgment (March 18, 2011).

Know all Men by these Presents, Chat

Book 1407

R.S. wo ollars

I, Sophronia P, Marsh of Kennebunkport County of York and State of Maine In consideration of one Dollar and other valuable consideration contents of Massachuset Constance Sheedy Gray of Groton County of Middlesex and Commonweal th of Massachuset the receipt whereof I do hereby schowledge, do bereby give grant, bergain, sall and convey unto the said opportunity of contain lot or parget of land situated at Goose Rocks Beach in said Kennebunkport, hounded and described as follows: Beginning on the southerly side of the Town Road leading from Goose Rocks Beach to Batson's River at an iron stake and the westerly corner of land of Marion C. Cameron thence running south, 12 degrees 58 minutes east one hundred thirteen and seven-tenths feet by land of said Cemeron to an from stake at the top of the bank by the ocean, thence by the same course to the ocean, thence westerly by the ocean one hundred and two feet to land now or formerly of Smithe Benson, thence northerly by said Smith a Benson land to an inon state at the top of the bank by ocean, thence by said Smith & Benson land in the same course to the road at an iro stake driven in the ground, thence easterly by said mead to the point of beginning

Also all land owned by said grantor, if any, which may be included by an exter sion of the lines in this description running northerly and southerly and extending to the old Town Way mentioned in deed of George F. Hippy to George P. Hutching dat May 1, 1892, and recorded in said York County Registing of Deeds in Book 528, Page

Both of the said lots being the same premises conveyed by deed of beatrice H Seidel to Sophronia P. Maran et als., dated Abgust 20011936, and recorded in the York County Registry of Deeds, Book 685, Page 89. Regirence is also made to the deed of Sophronia P, Marsh et al., to Elvin M, Stone 191, al., dated Sey super se 1949 and recorded in the York County Registry of people Book 1142, Page 1, and deed of Elvin M. Stone, et al., to Sophrenia P. Marsh of al., dated September 30 1949 and recorded in the York County Registry of Deeds Book (1910, Rage 800, White two deeds establish the westerly boundary line of the tirst described premises. To waits and in woll, the aforegraphed and paregained premises in mit all the priviless to apportunence thereof to the said Constance Singledy dray her

helm and seeling to the them and heirs and assigns, that

their use and behoof forever. And

gorgoont with the

lawfully esteed in too of the promites that they pic tree of all langualisates

that I have good right to sell and convey the same to the said Grante 8

ode thomand nine hundred and. Bigned, Benled and Delivered Sidney W. Thaxter

the year of our Lord

(1891).

State of Balif. Cumperland as the above hamed Sophmonia P. Marsh of the above hamed a community of the above that the second is the second of th

Before me. Sidney W. Thanter

Justice of the Peace

Resided exerciting to the crisical residual. September 14, 1989 at 96

BOOK IS 46 PAGE 169

Know All Men by these Presents.

What I, STANLEY P. JAMES of Lynchburg in the Commonwealth of Virginia,

in consideration of One Dollar (\$1.00) and other valuable considerations

paid by MARY NEWCOMB DAVIS of Waterville in the County of Kennebec and State of Haine,

the recoipt whereof I do hereby acknowledge, do hereby glow, grant, burgain, sell and compay, unto the said Mary Mawcoab Davis; ther

Heirs and Assigns forever,

the following described property: a one-quarter interest in common and undivided in a certain lot or parcel of land with the buildings thereon situated at Beachwood, so called, in Kennebunkport in the County of York and State of Maine, bounded and described as follows:

Commencing at a hub driven in the ground on the front of the sea wall fifty (50) feet westerly from the westerly corner of land now or formerly of Jelloson and Boston; thence running one hundred (100) feet northerly parallel with the westerly line of said Jelleson and Boston land to a hub driven in the ground; thence westerly fifty (50) feet; thence turning and running southerly by land forgarly of Carrie F. Whittemore by a line parallel with and fifty (50) feet distont westerly from the first described boundary line one hundred (100) feet and continuing the same course to the sea; thence easterly by the sea to the point formed by the intersection of the first described boundary line and the line of the sea boundary; the said first boundary line being extended; thence northerly on said extended line to the point of beginning.

Being the same premises conveyed to the late Minnie H. James by said Carrie P. Whittemore by warrenty deed dated October 6, 1921 and recorded in York County Registry of Deeds in Book 695, Page 269

Also a one-quarter interest in common and undivided in another certain lot or parcel of land with the buildings thoreon situated at said Reachwood in said Kennebunkport, bounded and described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of the within granted premises at a point on the Kings Righway, at the dividing line between land now or formerly of Cleaves and the within granted premises; thence running westerly by the Kings Highway fifty-flve (55) feet; thence turning and running southerly by land formerly of Carrie P. Whittemore by a straight line to the northwesterly corner of premises conveyed by said Whittemore to the said Minnie

BOOK 1541 PAGE 14 0

H. James fifty (50) feet more or less to land now or formerly of Cleaves; thence turning and running northerly by Cleaves land sixty-five (65) feet more or less to the point of beginning.

Being the same premises conveyed to the said Carrie P. Whittemore by deed of A. Isabelle Fearing et als dated October 14, 1921
more by deed of A. Grand Fearing et als dated October 14, 1921
mand recorded in said York County Registry of Deeds and being the
same premises conveyed by said Carrie P. Whittenore to said Minnie
H. James by warranty deed dated October 14, 1921 and recorded in
said York County Registry of Deeds in Book 694, Page 197.

Heaning and intending hereby to convey to said Mary Newcomb Davis my one-quarter interest in the above described premises devised under the will of asid Hinnie H. James duly proved and allowed in the Probate Court of York County, as abstract thereof having been duly filed in the Registry of Deeds of said York County.

On have such in hold the aforegranted and bargeined presines. with all privileges and appurtenances thereof to the said Hary Newcomb Davis, her

" and their use and behoof Heirs and Assigns, to her forever.

rowenant with the said Grantee . her Heirs And I do lawfully seized in fee of the premises; and Assigns, that I am that they are free of all incumbrances;

that I have good right to sell and convey the same to the said Grantee to hold as aforesaid; and that I and my Heirs, shall and will Marrant and Brirah the same to the said Grantee , hor

Heirs and Assigns forever, against the lawful claims and demands of all persons.

BOOK ISH LPAGE 191

In Witness Whereaf,	I,	the said	Stanley	P. James
and I, Hartha W. James,	wife of th	e said St	anley P.	James,
joining in this doed as Gramy rights by descent and				
described presides, have he	reunto set		a bas, sbar	eak thie
one thousand nine hundred a	_{Rđ} sixty-t	hree.		
Bigned, Benied und Belin	rred			
10 % D %	440,000		-	,
- Alan Jan	<u></u>			
Thurthe Wilfam				
				
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA States of S	Lynchbi	urg, Va.	March	19, 19
Personally ap	peared the	above nam	ød	



York, ss. Received MAY 1 1963 at tt h, $t\tilde{sm}$, A M and recorded from the original.

Tunksomer Mind Ponce

No comission expires May 19, 1963.

6EE 840 100K I.R.S.\$4.95 BUOK 1546 PAUG 112

Hs 10

Know All Men by these Presents.

 ${\overline{{m U}}}_{1,{\mathbf H}}^{\rm T}$ I, ELIZADETH NEWCOMB WETTER of Homphis in the State of Tonnessee,

in consideration of One Dollar (\$1.00) and other valuable considerations

paid by MARY NEWCOMB DAVIS of Waterville in the County of Kennebec and State of Maing,

the receipt whereof I do hereby schowledge, do hereby give, grant, bergete, sell and course, unto the said Mary Newcomb Davis, her

Heirs and Assigns forever,

Ĺį

the following described property: a ono-quartor interest in common and undivided in a certain lot or parcel of land with the buildings thereon situated at Beachwood, so called, in Kennebunkport in the County of York and State of Maine, bounded and described as follows:

Commencing at a hub driven in the ground on the front of the sea wall fifty (50) feet westerly from the westerly corner of land now or formerly of Jollosen and Boston; thence running one hundred (109) feet northerly parallel with the westerly line of said dellesen and Boston land to a hub driven in the ground; thence westerly fifty (50) feet; thence turning and running southerly by land formerly of Carrie P. Whittemore by a line parallel with and fifty (50) feet distant westerly from the first described boundary line one hundred (100) feet and continuing the same course to the sea; thence easterly by the sea to the point formed by the intersection of the first described boundary line and the line of the sea boundary; the said first boundary line being extended; thence northerly on said extended line to the point of beginning.

Being the same premises conveyed to the late Minnie N. James by said Carrio P. Whittemore by warranty deed dated October 6, 1921 and recorded in York County Registry of Deeds in Book 695, Page 269

Also a one-quarter interest in common and undivided in another certain lot or parcel of land with the buildings thereon situated at said Beachwood in soid Konnebunkport, bounded and described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of the within granted promises at a point on the Kings Highway, at the dividing line between land new or formerly of Cleaves and the within granted premises; thence running westerly by the Kings Highway fifty-five (55) feet; thence turning and running southerly by land formerly of Carrie 7. Whittomore by a straight line to the northwesterly corner of premises conveyed by said Whittemere to the said Hinnie

BOOK 1544 PAGE 173

II. James fifty (50) feet more or less to land now or formerly of Cleaves; thence turning and running northerly by Cleaves land sixty-five (65) feet more or less to the point of beginning.

Boing the same premises conveyed to the said Carrie P. Whittomore by doed of A. Isabelle Fearing et als dated October 14, 1921 and recorded in said York County Registry of Beeds and being the same premises conveyed by said Carrie P. Whittemore to said Minnie II. James by warranty deed dated October 14, 1921 and recorded in said York County Registry of Deeds in Book 694, Page 197.

Meaning and intending hereby to convey to said Mary Newcomb Davis my one-quarter interest in the above described premises duvised under the will of said Minnie II. James duly proved and allowed in the Probate Court of York County, an abstract thereof having been duly filed in the Registry of Deeds of said York County.

Un haur such in huld—the eforegranted and bargained presises. with all privileges and appurtenances thereof to the said Mary Namcomb Davis, her

Heirs and Assigns, to hor and their use and behoof forever.

And I do incentifi with the said Grantee, her Heirs and Assigns, that I am lawfully seized in fee of the premises; that they are free of all incumbrances;

that I have good right to sell and convey the same to the said Grantee to hold as aforecaid; and that I and my Heirs, shall and will Barrani and Drienb the same to the said Grantee, her

Heirs and Assigns forever, against the lawful claims and demands of all persons.

BOOK ISHLE	45E 114	•		
				•
In Witness	Pherent, 1	, the said	Elizabeth New	сомр
ettor,			. •	1
	•	•	•	ľ
•				.
md I, Henry W	tter, Jr.,xxite	ondextockental husb	and or the sar	·"
lizabeth Newc	mb Webber,	•	•	
eining in this	doed as Granton	, and relinquist	ing and convey	/ing
sy rights by	descent and all	other rights in t	he above	
lesoribed premi	ses, have herew	ito set our hai	r class bus sbr	hi•
1995	day of March		e year of our l	Pator
ne thousand mi	ne hundred and	sixty-throe.	, ,	-
Bigned,	Benled with Bellvered in presence of			and State
	· ·	Siriktle	pacoul letter	
 	·	Thum	No this] }
		- Marie	1	"Vienne"
				<u> </u>
		·		
		· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[
			 	
<u></u>		· ' 		
TENNESSE	P			
Hate of Mainex	")	•	March /9,	19 63
Shelby	\ ^{**}	4 Z		
	ersonally appear	red the above nam	84	į
	Elizabeth Now	comb Wetter		
enā soknoviedge	d the above ins	trument to be he	r free agt an	d .
doed.			35.4.4.4	33.70
	Be	fore me.)// ^{[][]}	\$ 150 A
,		_970	XI hickory Six	**************************************
•		· · · ·	Auguston Public	
		. 70	COMMISSION EXPINED OF	A A Manuel
	Yerk,		HEIS was M	

:

6

. ,

BOOK 1546 PAGE 175

I.K.S.\$1.95 Know All Men by these Presents.

Wint I, NELSON P. JAMES of Binghamton in the County of Broome and State of New York,

in consideration of One Dollar (\$1.00) and other valuable

paid by MARY NEWCOMB DAVIS of Waterville in the County of Kennebac and State of Maine,

the receipt whereof I do hereby acknowledge, do hereby give, grant, bargain, atil and rangey, unto the said Mary Nowcomb Davis, her

Heirs and Assigns forever,

the following described property: a one-quarter interest in common and undivided in a certain lot or parcel of land with the buildings thereon situated at Beachwood, so called, in Kennebunkport in the County of York and State of Maine, bounded and described as follows:

Commencing at a hub driven in the ground on the front of the sea wall fifty (50) feet vesterly from the westerly corner of land new or formerly of Jelleson and Boston; thence running one hundred (100) feet northerly parallel with the westerly line of sold Jelleson and Boston land to a hub driven in the ground; thence westerly fifty (50) feet; thence turning and running southerly by land formerly of Carrie P. Whittenere by a line parallel with and fifty (50) feet distant westerly from the first described boundary line one hundred (100) feet and continuing the same course to the seal thonce easterly by the sea to the point formed by the intersection of the first described boundary line and the late of the sea boundary; the said first boundary line being extended; thence northerly on said extended line to the point of beginning.

Deing the same premises conveyed to the late Minnie H. James by said Carrie P. Whittemore by warranty deed dated October 6, 1921 and recorded in York County Registry of Beeds in Book 695, Page 269

Also a one-quarter interest in common and undivided in anothe certain lot or parcel of land with the buildings thereon situated at said Beachwood in said Kennebunkport, bounded and described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of the within granted premises at a point on the Kings Highway, at the dividing line between land now or formerly of Cleaves and the within granted premises; thence running westerly by the Kings Highway, fifty-five (55) feet; thence turning and running southerly by land formerly of Carrie P. Mittismore by a straight line to the northwesterly corner of premises conveyed by said Whittemore to the said Minnie

BUOK ISAL PAGE 196

H. James fifty (50) feet more or loss to land now or formerly of Cleaves; thence turning and running northerly by Cleaves land sixty-five (65) feet more or loss to the point of beginning.

Being the same presses convoyed to the said Corrie P. Whitto more by deed of A. Isabelle Fearing et als dated October 14, 1921 and recorded in said York County Registry of Deeds and being the same presses conveyed by said Carrie P. Whittenore to said Minnie H. James by warranty deed dated October 14, 1921 and recorded in said York County Registry of Deeds in Book 694, Page 197.

Meaning and intending hereby to convey to said Mary Newcomb Davis my one-quarter interest in the above described premises devised under the will of said Minnie II. James duly proved and allowed in the Probate Court of York County, an abstract thereof having been duly filed in the Registry of Doeds of said York

On have and in hold the aforegranted and bargained presides. with all privileges and appurtenances thereof to the said Mary Newcomb Davis, her

and their use and behood Heirs and Assigns, to her forever.

ţ

إنيه

congunit with the said Grantee . her Heirs and Assigns, that I am lawfully seized in fee of the premises; that they are free of all insumbrances;

that I have good right to sell and convey the same to the said Orantee to hold as aforesaid; and that I and my Heirs, shall and will Marrant and Brienk the same to the said Grantee . her

Heirs and Assigns forever, against the lawful claims and demands

In Witness Wherent, I, the cald Holson P. James,

and I, Bernice C. James, wife of the said Nelson P. James,

joining in this deed as Grantor, and relinquishing and conveying my rights by descent and all other rights in the above described premises, have hereunte set our hands and seals this 192 day of March in the year of our Lord

Aigned, Staled and Delivered to preserved of	Gelm Placier
Raymond Martis	Buriel C. Jemes
NEW YORK	

NEW YORK Blate of Makey Brooms

March /9 19 63

Personally appeared the above named

Nelson_P. James

and asknowledged the above instrument to be his free ast and

sed.

Before me

SHESSON PURSON





York, ss. Received MAY 1 1963 at 11 k.15 m, 11 M and recorded from the original.

1911年

BK 6 3 7 3 PG 2 4 9

63869

COUNTY OF HEAD CLERK'S GET RECEIVE GUNDENTO

STATE OF MAINE YORK , SS.

RECEIVE SUPERIOR COURT Civil Action DEC 2 1/02 DOCKET NO. CV-90-119

MARY NEWCOMB DAVIS

Plaintiff

ABSTRACT OF DECISION AND ORDER RELATIVE TO REAL ESTATE

V

LINDA A. MITCHELL

Defendant

I, Dianne Hill, the duly appointed and qualified Clerk of the York County Superior Court, do hereby certify that on the 13th day of July 1992, a Decision and Order Concerning Real Estate cwned by both Plaintiff and Defendant respectively at Goose Rocks Beach, Kennebunkport, York County Maine, was entered, and that the following is a true copy of so much of said Decision and Order as relates to the said Real Estate in Kennebunkport, York County, Maine, viz:

A BOUNDARY BY ACQUIESCENCE FOR PLAINTIFF HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED AND IS AWARDED AS FOLLOWS:

All land situated in Kennebunkport in the County of York and State of Maine, at Goose Rocks Beach, which lies easterly of and adjacent to the following described line:

Beginning on the Southwesterly side of the Kings Highway at a point in line with the westerly edge of a former row of ornamental shrubs as shown on Plan Showing a Standard Boundary survey made for Linda Mitchell, Kennebunkport. Maine" drawn January 28, 1988 by Dow & Coulombe Inc., which point of January 28, 1988 by Dow & Coulombe Inc., which point of beginning is ten (10) feet more or less northwesterly, as measured along said Kings Highway, from a set iron rod with cap shown on said plan as being in the boundary line between the property of said Mitchell and grantee, and which point of beginning is also in line, or nearly so, with an existing

Central Maine Power Company pole in said highway; thence approximately S 5°38' W by the Westerly edge of said former line of shrubs as shown on said plan and a continuation beyond the end of said line, 180 feet more or less to the top of a granite boulder seawall as shown on said plan; thence continuing the same course to low water mark of the Atlantic Ocean, or so far as said Mitchell owns. Said line passes approximately 4.5 westerly from the westerly edge of an existing bulkhead on the residence on grantees property, and passes approximately 3.5 feet westerly from a set iron rod with cap shown on said plan near said sea wall at the Easterly end of a tie line marked "S 86°03'45" W 50.46 feet" on said plan.

 Judgment for Plaintiff on the Counterclaim of Defendant. Defendant filed Notice of Appeal of the Decision and Order on August 11, 1992.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the said Superior Court this <a href="https://linear.com/lin

Clerk, Superi

Dated: December 11, 1992

RECEIVED YORK S.S. 92 DEC 18 PH 1: 23

ATTEST: OMANGEN STEAMS.
REGISTER OF DEEDS

STATE	OF	MAINE
YORK,	SS.	

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION DOCKET NO. RE-09-111

ROBERT F. ALMEDER et al.,)
Plaintiffs,	ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS'
) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
v.)
TOWN OF KENNEBUNKPORT,) (Title to Real Estate Involved))
Defendants.)

UPON consideration of the Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of this Court's Order entered December 22, 2011 ("Order") on Plaintiffs' motions for summary judgment and the Town's motion for summary judgment, without hearing, after review and consideration of the written submissions of the parties, said motion is GRANTED.

1. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Count I of the Town's Counterclaim.

As to the Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment on Count I of the Town's Counterclaim of fee simple title, this Court erred when it stated that the "Plaintiffs have not conclusively proven that they are entitled to judgment as a matter of law on the question of whether the Town has a claim for fee simple title to Goose Rocks Beach." Order at 12-13 (emphasis added). The court's initial determination incorrectly shifted the Town's burden of proof as to its claim to "quiet title" of its fee ownership onto the Plaintiffs. First, the Town has the burden to submit a deed or other material that established prima facie evidence of title. Assuming the burden is met, the Town then bears the factual burden of proving it has better title than the Plaintiffs. Hodgdon v.

Campbell, 411 A.2d 667, 671 (Me. 1980). This Court held, as a matter of law that the document on which the Town relies as a source of title is not a deed and does not give the Town any title.

While the Plaintiffs made a prima facie case of title, the Town has failed to produce evidence of fee ownership in the Town and cannot meet its burden of proving better title than Plaintiffs.

IT IS SO ORDERED, that as a matter of law, the Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment is granted and judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs and against the Town of Kennebunkport as to Count I of the Town's Counterclaim to fee simple title.

2. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Count II of Their Complaint.

As to Count II of the Plaintiffs' Complaint, Plaintiffs satisfied the court's requirement for publication¹ and the only party that came forward claiming title was the Town. This Court concluded, as a matter of law, that the 1684 document is not a source of title for the Town.

Order at 8. Thus the Town does not have prima facie evidence of title.

Plaintiffs have satisfied their prima facie evidence of ownership of their properties, including the intertidal zone by showing *some* evidence of title in the submission of their deeds and the opinion of J. Gordon Scannell, Esq. that Plaintiffs each have good title to the low water mark. Smith v. Varney, 309 A.2d 229 (Me. 1973). In a quiet title action, once a plaintiff shows prima facie evidence of title and either: (1) no defendant comes forth to challenge that title, (2) a court determines that defendants do not, as a matter of law have a title document or other evidence showing title, or (3) if plaintiffs have carried their burden by proving better title than defendants by a preponderance of the evidence, the court *must* grant the plaintiff's action to quiet title.

Based on Plaintiffs' Exhibit A and the record citations contained therein, the court finds:

The deeds of Plaintiffs Almeder, Asplundh, Fleming, Flynn, Forrest/Julian,
 Julian, Gallant, Gerrish, Goose Rocks Beach Holdings LLC, LAJR Trust, Kinney, Donna Lencki
 Revocable Trust, O'Connor/Leahey, Raines, Rice, Robinson, Alice B. Sandifer Trust, Eleanor A

¹ Notice of Filing Affidavit of Legal Publication: Portland Press Herald (Oct. 5, 2010).

Scriber QPRT, The Cornelius J. Vandervoorn QPRT, The Twombly Family Trust, and Zagoren identified on Exhibit A as Nos. 1 through 23 are not ambiguous and that each has good title to their respective properties as set forth in their deeds;

- 2. The deeds of Plaintiffs Gray (24) and Hastings (25) reference descriptions that are incorporated into their deeds, therefore, each has good title to their respective properties as referenced in their deeds;
- 3. As to Plaintiffs Dwelley (26) and Temerlin (27), the Town made no challenge to their title nor has anyone else, therefore, the titles of Dwelley and Temerlin are confirmed; and
- 4. The deeds of Plaintiffs Celi (28), Coughlin (29), and Sherman (30) reference a recorded subdivision plan in their description and without further evidence, the court cannot find that each possess good title to the low water mark, although each has good title to their respective lots as shown on the recorded plan is unchallenged.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment is granted as to Count I of Plaintiffs' Complaint for quiet title and judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs as noted above and against the Town of Kennebunkport and any other person claiming fee simple title to Plaintiffs' properties, including the intertidal zone.

Judgment in this action shall be recorded in the York County Registry of Deeds within thirty (30) days after final judgment is entered in this case.

The clerk is directed to incorporate this Order into the docket by reference pursuant to M. R. Civ. P. 79(a).

Dated:	
	Justice, Superior Court